



Optimizing Converged Cisco Networks (ONT)

Quality of Service (QoS) Overview

<http://www.INE.com>

Network Metrics Overview

- **Bandwidth**
 - How much traffic you can send at a time
 - e.g. pipe “width”
 - Measured in bps
- **Delay**
 - How long it takes traffic to get there
 - e.g. pipe “length”
 - Measured in ms
- **Jitter**
 - Variation in delay
 - e.g. different pipe “lengths” along path
 - Measured in ms
- **Loss**
 - Traffic dropped in transit
 - e.g. leaky pipes 😊

Copyright © 2009 Internet Network Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Data vs. Voice Requirements

- Data
 - Generally higher bandwidth requirements
 - Larger packet sizes
 - Can tolerate delay and jitter
 - Can tolerate loss
 - i.e. TCP retransmission
 - Can tolerate network reconvergence time
- Voice
 - Lower bandwidth requirements
 - Smaller packet sizes
 - Cannot tolerate delay and jitter
 - Cannot tolerate loss
 - Too late to retransmit once dropped
 - Highly sensitive to network reconvergence time

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Bandwidth Issues

- Bandwidth is a physical function of the circuit
- Lowest bandwidth circuit along the path becomes the “bottleneck”
 - e.g. FastEthernet LAN to T1 WAN
- There is never enough bandwidth
 - e.g. TCP by nature will take 100% of pipe
- How can we deal with this other than buying larger circuits?

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Delay Issues

- Time that traffic takes to arrive can be broken down into...
- Processing delay
 - How long did the device take to make a decision?
 - Software and hardware function
 - e.g. CPU, CEF, ACLs, etc.
- Queueing delay
 - How long did the traffic have to wait in line?
 - Software and hardware function

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Delay Issues (cont.)

- Serialization delay
 - How long did it take to put the frame on the wire?
 - Hardware interface driver function only
- Propagation delay
 - How long did it take to get there?
 - Electrical / optical / wireless function
- How can we deal with this other than buying faster hardware?

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Loss Issues

- Packet loss usually occurs due to “buffer overflow”
 - Router has no more space to hold the traffic
 - i.e. “congestion”
- Packet loss tends to have a snowball effect
 - e.g. “global TCP synchronization”
 - More on this later...
- Some loss is acceptable, but how can we minimize it?

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



The Solution: QoS

- Quality of Service
- Method of preferring services for particular types of traffic while sacrificing others
 - e.g. guaranteed delivery vs. best effort
- Goal is to make the network more predictable
 - e.g. I know my worst case delay for VoIP is 100ms
 - e.g. I know that FTP should get at least 5Mbps

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



QoS Classification

- To offer different services, we must know who is out there and what they need
- “Classification” is used to separate the services and their needs, such as...
 - VoIP – minimum delay
 - Database replication – guaranteed delivery
 - Email – best effort
- Without proper classification, entire QoS model falls apart

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



QoS Enforcement

- Once we know what the needs are, the network must enforce our “policy”
- QoS policy defines how network devices actually deal with the traffic, such as...
 - Prioritization
 - Queueing
 - Shaping
 - Policing
 - Etc.

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



QoS Models

- QoS implementation can be generalized into three “models”
 - Best Effort
 - Integrated Services (IntServ)
 - Differentiated Services (DiffServ)

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



Best Effort

- Effectively no QoS
- All traffic is given the same classification (priority)
- Very simple implementation
 - e.g. First-In-First-Out (FIFO) queueing

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



IntServ QoS

- RFC 1633 *Integrated Services in the Internet Architecture: an Overview*
- Goal was that QoS would be “integrated” into the applications and the network at the same time
- Example
 - Host application asks the network for 64kbps of low delay service
 - Devices in the network transit path check for available resources and grant or deny the request
- Uses RFC 2205’s *Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP)* for the actual implementation

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



IntServ QoS Problems

- Assumes application support
 - Do we really want the end devices controlling the network’s resources?
- Assumes network maintains the state
 - Do we really want to know about every flow in the network?
- Does not scale, and is rarely implemented with few exceptions
 - e.g. MPLS Traffic Engineering (MPLS TE)
- In Cisco IOS RSVP only makes reservation in the “control plane” not the “data plane”

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



DiffServ QoS

- RFC 2475 *An Architecture for Differentiated Services*
- Goal is that the network itself classifies flows (differentiates between them) and enforces the policy
- Classification plus the policy defines the Per Hop Behavior (PHB)
 - e.g. each router makes an independent decision
- Uses fields in the IP header (amongst other things) to define the PHB
 - RFC 2474 *Definition of the Differentiated Services Field (DS Field) in the IPv4 and IPv6 Headers*

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



DiffServ QoS Problems

- Assumes correct classification
 - What stops a host from marking all its traffic as priority?
- Assumes network enforces the policy
 - What methods can we use to actually guarantee the services?
- Despite these, DiffServ is more scalable and the preferred implementation

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com



QoS Overview Q&A

Copyright © 2009 Internetwork Expert, Inc
www.INE.com

